



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.						
10/651,328	08/28/2003	Amy H. Kang	5681-69401	7788						
58467 MHKG/SUN P.O. BOX 398 AUSTIN, TX 78767	7590 07/21/2009		EXAMINER WANG, RONGFA PHILIP							
		<table border="1"><tr><td>ART UNIT</td><td>PAPER NUMBER</td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td>2191</td><td></td></tr></table>			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			2191	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER									
	2191									
		<table border="1"><tr><td>NOTIFICATION DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td>07/21/2009</td><td>ELECTRONIC</td></tr></table>			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	07/21/2009	ELECTRONIC		
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE									
07/21/2009	ELECTRONIC									

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent_docketing@intprop.com
ptomhkkg@gmail.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/651,328	Applicant(s) KANG ET AL.
	Examiner PHILIP WANG	Art Unit 2191

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) PHILIP WANG. (3) Robert Kowert(39,255).
 (2) Wei Zhen(SPE). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7/7/2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Srivastava(2005/0160431), H5E-A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant represented proposed amendment to claim 1 and discussed how the proposed amendment would overcome the recited prior art. The examiner acknowledged the amendment has further detailed the invention and may possibly overcome the combined prior art, however further search and consideration will be required for a conclusion.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.